EAST CHICAGO WATERWAY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT 1 BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING 2 3 4 5 Transcript of the meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District had on the 19th day of 6 June, 2013, at 5:07 p.m., at the East Chicago City Annex Building, 4444 Railroad Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana. 7 8 9 10 **APPEARANCES:** 11 MR. JOHN FEKETE, Board President 12 MR. JOHN BAKOTA, Board Member 13 MR. HENRY RODRIGUEZ, Board Member 14 MR. MIKE EBERT, Board Member 15 MR. RAY LOPEZ, Board Member 16 MR. FERNANDO TREVINO, Executive Director 17 MS. NATALIE MILLS, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 18 MR. SCOTT IRELAND, EPA 19 20 TRANSCRIBED BY: Mary L. Slafindor 21 22 23 SLAFINDOR COURT REPORTING 24 315 South Court Street Crown Point, IN 46307

Telephone: 219-662-4060 Facsimile: 219-662-2529

25

1	MR. FEKETE: I'd like to call the
2	meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District
3	Board of Directors to order. The time is 7 after 5:00.
4	John Bakota?
5	MR. BAKOTA: Here.
6	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Here.
8	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
9	MR. LOPEZ: Here.
10	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, here.
11	Mike Ebert?
12	MR. EBERT: Here.
13	MR. FEKETE: Ernest Jones? Rich
14	Gomez? We have a quorum.
15	Consulting engineering report.
16	MR. TREVINO: Yes. Fernando
17	Trevino, FMT Consulting. I'd like to start off by going
18	over the contents in your board packet. You have the
19	meeting notes for the board meeting of May 15th, 2013.
20	Meeting notes for the board meeting held May 21st, 2013.
21	You have the financials, as
22	presented by Clifton, Larson, Allen dated May 31st,
23	2013. And the claim docket dated June 19th, 2013.
24	You have in your packet a 2013
25	proposed budget amendment dated June 19th, 2013. An

email from Ann Remick from IDEM approving the budget amendment on behalf of IDEM.

You have in your packet a document entitled Modification to Agreement Regarding Section 10 Permit and Management of Oil Booms, and the affiliated exhibits.

You have in your packet a master service contract with TNT Marine Salvage, Inc., and its affiliated proposal. The proposal's Exhibit A of the contract and it's dated June 18th, 2013.

You have in your packet a letter of agreement from Praxis dated June 6th, 2013 regarding consulting services for strategic planning.

You have in your packet Resolution Number 2013-4, regarding resolution for not using non-trust and trust monies for Great Lakes Legacy Act Project. You have in your packet the proposal for the Great Lakes Legacy Act for remedial investigation and feasibility study and remedial design. And that proposal's dated May 15th, 2013.

You have in your packet 2 user fee deposit memos, 1 dated May 31st, the other dated June 6th, both 2013.

You have in your packet Citizens
Bank financial statements for accounts 608 and 616 --

ending in 608 and 616 -- both dated May 31st, 2013.

1.0

You have in your packet ECI Facility
Trust Report from BMO Harris Bank dated June 18th, 2013.
You have in your packet various Harris Bank statements,
1 for account ending in 858 dated April 19th, 2012. A
letter dated May 29th, 2013. Account number ending in
712 for the month of May. And account ending in 761,
also for the month of May, 2013. Also, brokerage
account statement for account ending in 534, also for
the month of May, 2013.

You have in your packet a copy of 3 mock-ups. I've been working on finalizing the website for the Waterway Management District, so I just thought I'd show the progress. Hopefully by the next meeting, we'll have something more online.

We have in your packet an IRS letter dated May 20th, 2013.

You have in your packet an updated manifest tracking summary, and this is for those signed in 2013. And a copy of the most recent one that I signed actually yesterday, manifest ending in 893.

You have 2 Arcadis reports. Both are dated May 13th, 2013. 1 is for the month of January, and the other is for the month of February this year.

Я

Trevino?

You have a BP Refinery update communicator dated May 17th, 2013.

You have a copy of the comments I provided to the Army Corps regarding their well point installation project, and that's dated May 17th, 2013.

You have a coy of the Army Corps' report to the board today dated June 20th, so I guess this is tomorrow's report. They dated it wrong. I received it today.

You have in your packet an email from Jennifer Miller forwarding the Corps' responses to my comments to their driveway project.

And last but not least, you have Attorney Ellen Gregory's reported dated June 19th, 2013.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for Mr.

MR. TREVINO: Well, that's just the

Update on user fees. Tim Anderson's office sent invoices to companies who have not paid their user fees. Copies of deposits since our last meeting are in your packet. Attorney Ellen Gregory has forwarded user fee information requested by the attorney general's office to assist us on collecting past due collections.

contents. I still have to go over a couple of things.

Strategic planning. After reviewing the expertise, experience and the cost for the 3 strategic planning consulting proposals that we received, the recommendation is to hire Praxis. The letter of agreement is included in your packet for approval consideration. The recommendation is supported by me and the finance committee, who is part of the review team.

The strategic planning effort was not included in the original 2013 budget, which means the budget should be amended. We proposed to IDEM to amend a shared budget line item to include strategic planning effort, which is budget line item 15. IDEM has agreed to amend budget line item 15. A copy of IDEM's approval email which is dated 6-11 is included in your packet. The second part of the budget amendment approval process is for the District to approve the amendment. Therefore, a copy of the proposed 2013 budget amendment is on today's agenda for approval consideration.

Also, as a reminder in 2012, the District entered into an agreement with ARBP, an agreement commonly referred to as a Section 10 Permit Agreement. The agreement basically specified provisions in which the District would agree to be the permitee on

the Army Corps Section 10 Permit, and the affiliated INDOT permits for placing booms in the canal. This agreement included a provision that the District would sign manifests for disposal of spent booms. Recently, ARBP has asked that the District also sign manifests for disposal of free-face hydrocarbon and related material collected on the south tank farm. And also a contract with the company TTMS to provide boom design management consulting services. TTMS is a company previously used and recommended by EPA. The agreement states that BP will pay for the TTMS consulting services.

Ellen and I have been working with BP to amend the Section 10 Permit Agreement to allow the District to undertake these additional actions. We've also been working with TTMS to come up with an agreeable proposal and contract. These items are on the agenda for approval consideration.

Great Lakes Legacy Act, as a quick recap of where we're at on this. Board members were provided a copy of the proposal at last month's board meeting. The proposal includes the remedial investigation, feasibility study and remedial design phases of remediation of the canal portions in East Chicago that are not scheduled for dredging by the Corps or cleanup by the EPA or IDEM. The end result of the

project would be the remedial design.

The phase after the remedial design is not included in this scope and is the actual remediation or implementation of the remedial design. The estimated schedule for this work is 2 years. The total cost estimate for the 3 phases is 2 million dollars. As per the Great Lakes Legacy Act criteria, the local sponsor cost share is 35 percent, and the balance, or 65 percent, comes from Great Lakes Legacy Act funding. The 35 percent calculates to 700,000, which can either all come from the District or other stakeholders may contribute toward the 700,000 that may be interested in the project. The District currently has ample funding to support the full 35 percent, or \$700,000, if that would be the conclusion.

Since the last board meeting, I thought it would be a good idea to propose a resolution for the District to participate in the project, since it's a major project undertaking for the District. The resolution requires a second reading approval before any money is transferred, however, it does allow us to immediately submit the application after the resolution is approved. The resolution is included in your packet and is on the agenda for approval consideration, along with the proposal application itself.

I've invited Scott Ireland of the EPA today, at today's meeting, in the event there's any questions on the proposal, project or program that the board may have. As mentioned, the resolution and the application is on the agenda today for approval consideration. Once approved, I will submit the proposal, or application, to EPA as required.

I've been working with Tim Anderson and Keystone Systems to coordinate software installation and training dates.

The Army Corps asked for comments on their drawings and specs for the entrance driveway reconstruction project. I've provided them comments a couple weeks ago, and as I mentioned, a copy of their response is in the board packet. They also asked for comments on the well point project, which are also in your packet.

As a reminder, a couple of months ago, Tim Anderson sent a response letter to the IRS, which was dated April 19th on their claim that the District owed \$27,000-plus on back taxes and interest. The IRS has sent a response to his letter, and it looks like their claim has been cleared up. A copy of the IRS letter is included in your packet.

Ongoing regular meetings that I've

•

you very much.

been attending on behalf of the District include the canal oil response meeting, the Corps contractor dredging project meeting and the BP biweekly meetings.

Indy Boulevard parkway improvement status. The Corps is working on reviewing options and costs as a result of feedback that we had a few months ago.

I mentioned that the website development is progressing.

And after the meeting I'd like to get the board's availability on some dredging observation tour dates. I know that the last one we had in early June was canceled due to mechanical problems. So if you could give me your availability for the next couple weeks, I'd appreciate it.

That concludes my report.

MR. FEKETE: Now, do we have any questions for Mr. Trevino?

(NO RESPONSE).

MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, we thank

We have before us board meeting notes for 2 meetings. Actually the stated meeting of May 21st, for which we had not quite a quorum, and the special meeting on May 25th on which we had to attend to

some financial business and needed a quorum for such action. You have 2 sets of meeting notes before you. I will entertain a motion to approve both of them.

MR. BAKOTA: Motion to accept.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.

MR. FEKETE: I'll take a voice vote.

All in favor signify by saying yes.

THE BOARD: (Collective "yes").

MR. FEKETE: Approved. Accounting

consultant report, Mr. Anderson.

MR. ANDERSON: Tim Anderson with Clifton, Larson, Allen. You have in your board packet my little financial statement for the month.

The first page with numbers on it in the financial statement is the statement of cash receipts and cash disbursements. Shows that through May, the 5 months ended, we've collected \$698,000 in user fees, and a transfer from the trust. And it also shows that we've written checks for \$108,000. And it shows the distribution of those checks, legal, engineering and on down the line.

This statement just shows the increase in cash from January to the end of May, which is 589,000. So our cash balance is 1.2 million at the beginning of the year, and now they're 1.8 million at

the end of May. And then I put a schedule of how that breaks down between the operating account and the user fee account. So there's 353,000 in the operating account, and a million 4 in the user fee account.

If you flip a couple pages, I have the detail of both of those checking accounts, or both of those cash accounts, with the interest that we earn each month and the cash disbursements that we write each month and the transfers. And again, that just recaps. The balances are the same, the operating's at 353 and the user fee's at 1.4.

The last page, and probably the most meaningful page, is what you guys budgeted and what we've disbursed through May, line by line, and what we've got left to spend of our budget. You start with the appropriation and then you deduct each month the checks that are written. And then that available budget all the way on the right, that's what we've got left to spend. And it corresponds with the balance in our operating account. There's 352,000 left of available budget, and that's what we have in our checking account. That's the balance in the operating account.

And that's it. Any questions, guys? We did get a response from the Internal Revenue Service.

MR. BAKOTA: Was it good?

1	MR. ANDERSON: They let you guys
2	squeak by.
3	MR. LOPEZ: That's what we've got
4	you for.
5	MR. ANDERSON: It was a little bit
6	of excitement when we got the letter. Fernando was a
7	little nervous.
8	MR. EBERT: I've got a question
9	there, Tim.
10	MR. ANDERSON: Sure.
11	MR. EBERT: They say, "We are
12	pleased to inform you that we were able to clear up the
13	discrepancy."
14	MR. ANDERSON: Well, first they said
15	they didn't know who I was. Some stranger is talking to
16	us.
17	MR. EBERT: I'm coming to that. But
18	they don't say that they cleared it up in our favor.
19	MR. ANDERSON: It just says that the
20	matter's been resolved. At first they proposed that
21	there was a deficiency.
22	MR. EBERT: Right.
23	MR. ANDERSON: And so we replied,
24	well, there's really no liability. And then they say
25	that it's been resolved.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. EBERT: But they don't

explicitly say we have ruled in your favor.

MR. ANDERSON: They don't say you're right, we were wrong.

> MR. BAKOTA: They never say that.

MR. TREVINO: I wondered the same thing, Mike. But talking with Tim, if it wouldn't have been resolved they would have been a lot more clear, I think.

Well, they would have MR. ANDERSON: said, you know, that was a nice argument, but no. we knew from the beginning that it was nonsense. is not a taxable entity; it's a government entity.

Now, referring to your MR. EBERT: other point. The 2 forms that they mentioned would allow you to act in our behalf in discussions with the IRS. Do you see a benefit to us doing that?

MR. ANDERSON: Well, I didn't perceive that we would lots of issues with the Internal Revenue Service. But I suppose if they're going to pick on us annually, it might be a good idea. There's a 1-page form that you can fill out that would give me authority to talk to the IRS. But you have to be specific on those powers of attorney as to what matters you can discuss. It's not just a blanket, as things

1	come up. So I don't really know what issues we would
2	ever have with them. I think each time we'll just
3	address it. If something else comes up, we can talk
4	about it.
5	MR. TREVINO: I like the confidence,
6	Tim.
7	MR. ANDERSON: Well, this one seemed
8	really obvious from the get-go that it wasn't a real
9	valid thing. Thank you.
10	MR. FEKETE: Thank you. We have the
11	accounts payable voucher presented to us. Any questions
12	pertaining to it?
13	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to accept.
14	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion to
15	accept. I'll entertain a second.
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
17	MR. FEKETE: We have a second.
18	We'll have a voice vote. John Bakota?
19	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
23	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
24	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
25	yes. Mike Ebert?

1	MR. EBERT: Yes.
2	MR. FEKETE: Ernie Jones? Rich
3	Gomez? Accounts payable voucher has been approved.
4	The next item is the approval
5	consideration for the budget amendment. As Mr. Trevino
6	explained, this is the line item change to accommodate
7	bringing on a strategic planning consultant. Are there
8	any questions?
9	(NO RESPONSE).
10	MR. FEKETE: Hearing none, I'll
11	entertain a motion to accept.
12	MR. LOPEZ: So moved.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
14	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a
15	second to approve the consideration for the budget
16	amendment. We'll have a voice vote on this. John
17	Bakota?
18	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
19	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
21	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
22	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
23	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
24	yes. Mike Ebert?
25	MR. EBERT: Yes.

1	MR. FEKETE: Ernest Jones? Rich
2	Gomez? Motion approved.
3	The next item of business is the
4	approval of the strategic planning consultant contract.
5	MR. EBERT: I move that we approve.
6	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion to
7	approve the strategic planning consultant contract as
8	presented.
9	MR. BAKOTA: Second.
10	MR. FEKETE: And we have a second by
11	Mr. Bakota. We'll have a voice vote. John Bakota?
12	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
13	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
15	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
16	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
17	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
18	yes. Mike Ebert?
19	MR. EBERT: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: Ernest Jones? Rich
21	Gomez? Motion approved.
22	As explained earlier, we have an
23	approval consideration before us, which is an amendment
24	to the Section 10 Permit Agreement. I will entertain a

25 motion to approve.

1	MR. LOPEZ: Motion to approve.
2	MR. FEKETE: Motion was made by Ray
3	Lopez. I'll entertain a second.
4	MR. EBERT: Second.
5	MR. FEKETE: We have a second by
6	Mike Ebert. Voice vote. John Bakota?
7	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
8	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
11	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
12	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
13	yes. Mike Ebert?
14	MR. EBERT: Yes.
15	MR. FEKETE: Ernest Jones? Rich
16	Gomez? The motion to approve the amendment to Section
17	10 Permit Agreement has been approved.
18	And the next item is to approve the
19	
	proposal and contract agreement with TTMS. I will
20	proposal and contract agreement with TTMS. I will entertain a motion to approve this contract.
20 21	
	entertain a motion to approve this contract.
21	entertain a motion to approve this contract. MR. EBERT: So moved.
21	entertain a motion to approve this contract. MR. EBERT: So moved. MR. FEKETE: I'll entertain a

1	second. Voice vote. John Bakota?
2	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
3	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
5	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
6	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
7	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
8	yes. Mike Ebert?
9	MR. EBERT: Yes.
10	MR. FEKETE: Ernest Jones? Rich
11	Gomez? Motion approved.
12	We have approval consideration of
13	the Great Lakes Legacy Act resolution. You have the
14	resolution before you; it has been discussed. I'll
15	entertain a motion to approve.
16	MR. EBERT: So moved.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
18	MR. LOPEZ: Question.
19	MR. FEKETE: We'll have a voice vote
20	on this. John Bakota?
21	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
22	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
24	MR. LOPEZ: I have a question, Mr.
25	Chairman.

1	MR. FEKETE: Okay.
2	MR. LOPEZ: It says 35 percent, or
3	700,000. It could go more than 700,000?
4	MR. IRELAND: We would sign a
5	project agreement for a certain dollar amount, and it
6	could not go above that unless we both signed and both
7	agreed to it going higher than that. So that's our
8	estimate right now, but when we come to the project
9	agreement before we sign, everybody has to be
10	comfortable with that.
11	MR. LOPEZ: It could go up.
12	MR. IRELAND: It could. It could
13	come down a little bit.
14	MR. FEKETE: And as we discussed
15	earlier, if there are other partners who may want to
16	join with us, it might even be less.
17	MR. LOPEZ: Okay, thank you.
18	MR. FEKETE: We were at Ray Lopez.
19	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
20	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
21	yes. Mike Ebert?
22	MR. EBERT: Yes.
23	MR. FEKETE: Ernest Jones? Rich
24	Gomez? Approval consideration for the Legacy Act

25 resolution has been approved.

1	Approval consideration for the Great
2	Lakes Legacy Act application submittal. I'll entertain
3	a motion to approve.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved.
5	MR. LOPEZ: Second.
6	MR. FEKETE: We have a motion and a
7	second. Voice vote. John Bakota?
8	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.
9	MR. FEKETE: Henry Rodriguez?
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
11	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?
12	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
13	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete, I vote
14	yes. Mike Ebert?
15	MR. EBERT: Yes.
16	MR. FEKETE: Ernest Jones? Rich
17	Gomez? The Great Lakes Legacy Act proposal application
18	submittal has been approved.
19	MR. TREVINO: And with that, Mr.
20	Chairman, in talking with Mr. Scott Ireland, after both
21	of these have been approved, I'll just take this
22	proposal and forward it via email to the appropriate
23	EPA contact person, and that will serve as
24	MR. FEKETE: Their docket will be

25 marked as submitted?

_

had a baby.

MR. IRELAND: Yes, absolutely.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you. Army Corps

of Engineers report. I see Mr. Nguyen is not with us.

MS. MILLS: No, Mr. Nguyen's wife

MR. FEKETE: Good to see you.

MS. MILLS: Good evening. Natalie

Mills with the Army Corps of Engineers. CDF

Construction. We've got the groundwater gradient

control system. The field office, they're coordinating

final submittals with the contractors, so the drawings

and what we call our construction documentation report

for final submittal. So we're working on that effort.

The facility and dredging operations. Ongoing dredging and placement. The dredging is planned right now through mid-July, and tends to be complete with the approximately 400,000 cubic yards of dredged material. And continuing operation of the gradient control system.

The retrofit contract. As Fernando indicated, we did provide for review the revised main entrance ramp scope of work, as well as the scope of work for the extraction well design. And we are currently working on the scope of work for the inner dike slope stabilizer. And we're still in discussions

on the parkway improvement. I think what we're thinking for the parkway improvement is just a removal of the existing stone and the replacement with some kind of a geo-textile. And I don't know what kind of stone yet; I haven't heard any prices yet. I wasn't able to get with the civil engineer on pricing. I think maybe like lava or some kind of decorative rock. So that's still along the lines of what we're thinking. Of course, when we get closer to having something more, of course we'll share that for discussion.

CDF documentation. We're still working to get the documentation together for the TSCA submittal. We still need some contractor submittal information before we can apply for the TSCA permit. We do intend to apply for it, we were hoping this summer, but it may be the fall, depending on when we get the submittals from these contractors and we approve them.

Oil boom coordination. BP removed the oil boom across the canal west of Indianapolis Boulevard. And EPA is in discussions with the Corps and BP and others on the biweekly meeting group -- or how often do they meet, once a month? I don't know what the frequency is any more.

MR. TREVINO: No, it's every 2 weeks.

MS. MILLS: It is biweekly.

Regarding a plan for some kind of oil boom to go back across the canal during dredging operations, rather than leaving the canal open with no oil boom. I guess more information will be forthcoming on that.

PCA and non-federal funding. As far as I know, there's been no progress on the PPA. Kim's indicated we've got new counsel, and I think he's going to be tasked with working on the PPA revisions.

One other thing to note is that the Chicago District will be moving to a new office. I don't know if you guys have heard that information yet, but on or around July 15th, we're moving from 111 North Canal to 231 South LaSalle. And we'll provide the mailing address information so you guys can have that. But we have been in that building for 24 years, so it was time for us to move on. The whole thing with competition and contracting, we have to share the wealth. So we'll be in a new lease.

MR. FEKETE: You're too close to Boeing right there anyway.

MS. MILLS: Yeah, we can't get any cell signal. And that's all that I have.

MR. BAKOTA: Good to see you again.

MS. MILLS: Good to see you guys

1	too. It's good to be out here.
2	MR. FEKETE: Any questions for
3	Natalie?
4	(NO RESPONSE).
5	MR. FEKETE: Thank you very much.
6	MS. MILLS: Thank you.
7	MR. FEKETE: Did we have a report
8	from Harris?
9	MR. TREVINO: Yeah, he gave me a
10	written report. He wasn't able to attend; he's recently
11	had a child too. So if you'd like I could read his
12	notes here. They're pretty straightforward.
13	MR. FEKETE: Do we have any
14	questions? It's pretty self-explanatory; he always does
15	a pretty good job, unless there's something unusual that
16	would come up.
17	MR. EBERT: No questions.
18	MR. FEKETE: No questions, okay.
19	New business?
20	(NO RESPONSE).
21	MR. FEKETE: No new business. Other
22	business?
23	MR. LOPEZ: On other business, I'd
24	like to make a comment. Working with these guys here,
25	estimated 3 years to get the canal?

MR. IRELAND: To get the design

done, we're thinking 2.

MR. LOPEZ: I didn't think in my lifetime we'd ever see that clean. I didn't, because I remember when I was a kid I used to fish there. Now it's all polluted and everything. But if they can clean that in 3 years, I hope I can last 3 years to see it.

MR. FEKETE: You will.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{MR}}.$ LOPEZ: Best thing we ever did was to get you guys on it.

MR. FEKETE: You have the incentive now. Other business. We had mentioned over the last couple of meetings about doing some ethics training.

Just to keep the board informed, I've been in touch with Cal Bellamy who has a local group that he basically heads up. And it includes several of the communities.

And I've been in conversation with him, as well as trading some e-mails. And we're taking a look as to how we would fit within his organization. Basically, what they do is they have a code of principles. You adhere to this code of principles. As far as training is concerned, it's just basically a couple of meetings at night at Teibel's, no less.

MR. BAKOTA: I attended that too -- we attended that. We were asked to make sure we attend

1	through the Water Department.
2	MR. FEKETE: Yeah. So it's that
3	kind of training that goes along with it. He's taking a
4	good look to see if an organization such as ours
5	which is very small in comparison to others that are
6	participating how it would fit in. So we're trying
7	to work our way through that. So just to let you know
8	that we are continuing along that line.
9	Any other business?
10	(NO RESPONSE).
11	MR. FEKETE: Any public comments?
12	(NO RESPONSE).
13	MR. FEKETE: No public comments.
14	Our next board meeting will be July 17th, 5:00 p.m. at
15	this building. I'll have a motion to adjourn.
16	MR. EBERT: Motion to adjourn.
17	MR. FEKETE: Do I have a second?
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
19	MR. FEKETE: All in favor signify by
20	saying yes.
21	THE BOARD: (Collective "yes").
22	MR. FEKETE: Meeting is adjourned at
23	5:40.
24	*************

STATE OF INDIANA SS: COUNTY OF LAKE 3 4 5 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 6 I, MARY L. SLAFINDOR, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Indiana, do hereby certify that I have transcribed from my stenographic notes the above-entitled meeting of the East Chicago Waterway Management District Board of Directors Meeting. Said notes contain all of the statements made during the meeting, to the best of my ability. 10 I further certify that the foregoing transcript, as 11 prepared by me, is a full, true, correct and complete copy of said stenographic notes made in the above-12 entitled meeting. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand this 10th day of July, 2013. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25